Chapter 13

Harnessing the Engine of Global Innovation

WHAT'S IN IT FOR ME?

- 1. What is the role of research and development (R&D) in innovation?
- 2. How are intellectual property rights treated around the globe?
- 3. Where in the world should R&D be located?
- 4. How are businesses accelerating their innovation efforts?
- 5. What is innovation for the bottom of the pyramid?

This chapter introduces you to the R&D function and innovation in international business. First, you'll look at R&D and its importance to corporations (Section 13.1 "An Introduction to Research and Development (R&D)") and then move on to consider intellectual property rights around the world (Section 13.2 "Intellectual Property Rights around the Globe"). Section 13.3 "How to Organize and Where to Locate Research and Development Activities" examines how to organize the international R&D function and where to locate R&D activities. Communications and other technologies are flattening the world in regard to innovation, enabling innovation activities to be located anywhere, while the absence of legal property-rights protections in some areas work against this flattener. Section 13.4 "Increasing Speed and Effectiveness of International Innovation" discusses the activities associated with managing innovation and running international R&D to increase the speed and effectivness with which a firm can innovate. You'll learn how the developments enabled by the Internet, such as open innovation, are bringing new innovation opportunities while at the same time making innovation imperative. Section 13.5 "Innovation for the Bottom of the Pyramid" describes innovating for the needs of all the world's consumers, not just the wealthiest ones. Innovation in emerging markets is also depicted in the opening case study on Unilever.

Opening Case: A Tale of Emerging Market-Based Innovation

For most companies, the traditional route to global business is through the export of the products they have developed and manufactured for their home markets. But most of the products sold in developed countries are much too expensive for emerging markets, where most of the people make less than \$1,500 per year. [1] Simply exporting products designed for the United States, Western Europe, or Japan doesn't

work well. Nor does simply lowering the price of products, because lower prices mean both lower margins and increased risks of cannibalizing the profits of higher-priced brands.

Moreover, emerging markets, such as Brazil, Russia, India, and China (also collectively known as the BRIC countries), don't have the same needs or capabilities as those found in developed economies. For instance, disposable income levels are relatively low, the availability of basic utilities like water or electricity can be varied, and transportation and transportation infrastructure can be nonexistent. While these emerging economies are attractive by virtue of their massive size, their different needs and capabilities pose unique challenges that are often overcome only through corporate innovation. Let's look at a case in point—Unilever in Brazil.

Among consumer packaged-goods (CPG) companies, Unilever and Procter & Gamble (P&G) often trade punches for customers in the world's emerging markets. Take their efforts in trying to market powdered laundry detergent (sometimes referred to as "washing powders") to the tens of millions of poorer consumers in Brazil. A decade ago, Unilever and P&G held significantly different market shares than they do today. Unilever held an 81 percent market share in the powered detergent sector while P&G was a late entrant in the market and was a distant second behind Unilever. P&G, however, was known for its strong R&D unit and extensive marketing experience worldwide; thus it posed a potential threat to Unilever.

Laercio Cardoso, head of Unilever's Home Care division in Brazil, knew he had to take action to respond to P&G's imminent threat. The solution, as he saw it, was to develop a product targeting the lower end of the market. But Cardoso faced opposition from his own colleagues at Unilever, because they ascribed Unilever's prior success to its premium-quality products. They also argued that any successful move into the low-end market would have to draw demand from Brazil's low-income consumers living in its vast *favelas*(slums). Over the years, both in Brazil and elsewhere, Unilever had learned that the attitudes and behaviors of this segment of consumers were very different from what Unilever was used to in the more high-end markets.

For example, Unilever knew that low-end consumers in Brazil didn't own washing machines. Instead, mothers washed the family's clothing by hand in the river. Regardless of whether the family lived in a city or rural area, the river was the place where mothers gathered to wash the clothes. What's more, the

women shopped at local mom-and-pop stores, not big central shopping centers. To succeed in this new market, therefore, Unilever would have to design a soap that was effective for washing clothes by hand and that could be easily transported to the local mom-and-pop shops.

Drawing on its experience in India, Unilever launched Ala, a brand of detergent created specifically to meet the needs of low-income consumers. The product is designed to work well for laundry washed by hand in river water. It's affordable and effective—and it is sold in small sizes to make it easy to transport and stock in a local store. The technique of small-portion packaging is called "sachet marketing," originating from powered soaps and shampoos sold in sachets in India for two to four cents each.

Opening Case Exercises

(AACSB: Ethical Reasoning, Multiculturalism, Reflective Thinking, Analytical Skills)

- View the YouTube video on Unilever's Ala in Brazil
 (http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WhmYtfL6s_8). Given that Unilever is viewed as being highly innovative in Brazil, does the video confirm this reputation? Why or why not?
- 2. Do you think that small, entrepreneurial ventures could be as effectively innovative as an enormous firm like P&G in emerging markets?
- 3. Visit Trendwatching.com's web page on "Sachet Marketing" at http://trendwatching.com/trends/sachet_marketing.htm. Why might the concept of a sachet be relevant in international business beyond soap or laundry detergent?
- [1] "Sachet Marketing," *Trend Watching*, accessed May 16, 2010,http://trendwatching.com/trends/sachet_marketing.htm.
- [2] Pierre Chandon, "Unilever in Brazil: Marketing Strategies for Low-Income Countries," November 28, 2006, accessed December 19, 2010, http://estrategiasynegocios.wordpress.com/2006/11/28/case-study-unilever-in-brazil-marketing-strategies-for-low-income-countries.
- [3] "Sachet Marketing," *Trend Watching*, accessed May 16, 2010, http://trendwatching.com/trends/SACHET_MARKETING.htm.



13.1 An Introduction to Research and Development (R&D)

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- 1. Know what constitutes research and development (R&D).
- 2. Understand the importance of R&D to corporations.
- 3. Recognize the role government plays in R&D.

Research and development (R&D) refers to two intertwined processes of research (to identify new knowledge and ideas) and development (turning the ideas into tangible products or processes). Companies undertake R&D in order to develop new products, services, or procedures that will help them grow and expand their operations. Corporate R&D began in the United States with Thomas Edison and the Edison General Electric Company he founded in 1890 (which is today's GE). Edison is credited with 1,093 patents, but it's actually his invention of the corporate R&D lab that made all those other inventions possible. ^[1]Edison was the first to bring management discipline to R&D, which enabled a much more powerful method of invention by systematically harnessing the talent of many individuals. Edison's 1,093 patents had less to do with individual genius and more to do with management genius: creating and managing an R&D lab that could efficiently and effectively crank out new inventions. For fifty years following the early twentieth century, GE was awarded more patents than any other firm in America. ^[2]

Edison is known as an inventor, but he was also a great innovator. Here's the difference: an invention brings an idea into tangible reality by embodying it as a product or system.

An innovation converts a new idea into revenues and profits. Inventors can get patents on original ideas, but those inventions may not make money. For an invention to become an innovation, people must be willing to buy it in high enough numbers that the firm benefits from making it. [3]

Edison wanted his lab to be a commercial success. "Anything that won't sell, I don't want to invent. Its sale is proof of utility and utility is success," [4] Edison said. Edison's lab in Menlo Park, New Jersey, was an applied research lab, which is a lab that develops and commercializes its research findings. As defined by the National Science Foundation, applied research is "systematic study to gain knowledge or understanding necessary to determine the means by which a recognized and specific need may be met." [5] In contrast, basic researchadvances the knowledge of science without an explicit, anticipated commercial outcome.

History and Importance

From Edison's lab onward, companies learned that a systematic approach to research could provide big competitive advantages. Companies could not only invent new products, but they could also turn those inventions into innovations that launched whole new industries. For example, the radio, wireless communications, and television industry grew out of early-twentieth-century research by General Electric and American Telephone and Telegraph (AT&T, which founded Bell Labs).

The heyday of American R&D labs came in the 1950s and early 1960s, with corporate institutions like Bell Labs, RCA labs, IBM's research centers, and government institutions such as NASA and DARPA. These labs funded both basic and applied research, giving birth to the transistor, long-distance TV transmission, photovoltaic solar cells, the UNIX operating system, and cellular telephony, each of which led to the creation of not just hundreds of products but whole industries and millions of jobs. ^[6] DARPA's creation of the Internet (known at its inception as ARPAnet) and Xerox PARC's Ethernet and graphical-user interface (GUI) laid the foundations for the PC revolution. ^[7]

Companies invest in R&D to gain a pipeline of new products. For a high-tech company like Apple, it means coming up with new types of products (e.g., the iPad) as well as newer and better versions of its existing computers and iPhones. For a pharmaceutical company, it means coming out with new drugs to treat diseases. Different parts of the world have different diseases or different forms of known diseases. For example, diabetes in China has a different molecular structure than diabetes elsewhere in the world, and pharmaceutical company Eli Lilly's new R&D center in Shanghai will focus on this disease variant. [8] Even companies that sell only services benefit from innovation and developing new services. For example, MasterCard Global Service started providing customers with emergency cash advances, directions to nearby ATMs, and emergency card replacements. [9]

Innovation also includes new product and service combinations. For example, heavy-equipment manufacturer John Deere created a product and service combination by equipping a GPS into one of its tractors. The GPS keeps the tractor on a parallel path, even under hands-free operation, and keeps the tractor with only a two-centimeter overlap of those parallel lines. This innovation helps a farmer increase the yield of the field and complete passes over the field in the tractor more quickly. The innovation also

helps reduce fuel, seed, and chemical costs because there is little overlap and waste of the successive parallel passes. [10]

Did You Know?

Appliance maker Whirlpool has made innovation a strategic priority in order to stay competitive. Whirlpool has an innovation pipeline that currently numbers close to 1,000 new products. On average, Whirlpool introduces one hundred new products to the market each year. "Every month we report pipeline size measured by estimated sales, and our goal this year is \$4 billion," said Moises Norena, director of global innovation at Whirlpool. With Whirlpool's 2008 revenue totaling \$18.9 billion, that means roughly 20 percent of sales would be from new products. [11]

Not only do companies benefit from investing in R&D, but the nation's economy benefits as well, as Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) professor Robert Solow discovered. Solow showed mathematically that, in the long run, growth in gross national product per worker is due more to technological progress than to mere capital investment. Solow won a Nobel Prize for his research, and investment in corporate R&D labs grew.

Although R&D has its roots in national interests, it has become globalized. Most US and European *Fortune* 1000 companies have R&D centers in Asia. ^[12] You'll see the reasons for the globalization of R&D in Section 13.3 "How to Organize and Where to Locate Research and Development Activities".

The Role of Government

Governments have played a large role in the inception of R&D, mainly to fund research for military applications for war efforts. Today, governments still play a big role in innovation because of their ability to fund R&D. A government can fund R&D directly, by offering grants to universities and research centers or by offering contracts to corporations for performing research in a specific area.

Governments can also provide tax incentives for companies that invest in R&D. Countries vary in the tax incentives that they give to corporations that invest in R&D. By giving corporations a tax credit when they invest in R&D, governments encourage corporations to invest in R&D in their countries. For example,

Australia gave a 125 percent tax deduction for R&D expenses. The Australian government's website noted, "It's little surprise then, that many companies from around the world are choosing to locate their R&D facilities in Australia." The government also pointed out that "50 percent of the most innovative companies in Australia are foreign-based." [13]

Finally, governments can promote innovation through investments in infrastructure that will support new technology and by committing to buy the new technology. China is doing this in a big way, and it is thus influencing the course of many companies around the world. Since 2000, China has had a policy in place "to encourage tech transfer from abroad and to force foreign companies to transfer their R&D operations to China in exchange for access to China's large volume markets," reported R&D Magazine in its 2010 review of global R&D. [14] For example, any automobile manufacturer that wants to sell cars in China must enter into a partnership with a Chinese company. As a result, General Motors (GM), Daimler, Hyundai, Volkswagen (VW), and Toyota have all formed joint ventures with Chinese companies. General Motors and Volkswagen, for example, have both formed joint ventures with the Chinese company Shanghai Automotive Industry Corporation (SAIC), even though SAIC also sells cars under its own brand. [15] The Chinese government made another strategic decision influencing innovation in the automobile industry. Because no Chinese company is a leader in internal combustion engines, the government decided to leapfrog the technology and focus on becoming a leader in electric cars. [16] "Beijing has pledged that it will do whatever it takes to help the Chinese car industry take the lead in electric vehicles," notes industry watcher Brian Dumaine. [17] That includes allocating \$8 billion in R&D funds as well as another \$10 billion in infrastructure (e.g., installing charging stations). [18] The government will also subsidize the purchase of electric cars by consumers and has committed to buying electric cars for government fleets, thus guaranteeing that there will be buyers for the new electric vehicles that companies invent and develop.

Another role of government is to set high targets that require innovation. In the 1960s, the US Apollo space program launched by President John F. Kennedy inspired US corporations to work toward putting a man on the moon. The government's investments in the Apollo program sped up the development of computer and communications technology and also led to innovations in fuel cells, water purification, freeze-drying food, and digital image processing now used in medical products for CAT scans and MRIs. [19] Today, government policies coming from the European Union mandate ambitious

environmental targets, such as carbon-neutral fuels and energy, which are driving global R&D to achieve environmental goals the way the Apollo program drove R&D in the 1960s. [20]

After the 1990s, US investment in R&D declined, especially in basic research. Governments in other countries, however, continue to invest. New government-corporate partnerships are developing around the world. IBM, which for years closely guarded its R&D labs (even IBM employees were required to have special badges to enter the R&D area), is now setting up "collaboratories" around the world. These collaboratories are partnerships between IBM researchers and outside experts from government, universities, and even other companies. "The world is our lab now," says John E. Kelly III, director of IBM Research. [21] IBM has deals for six future collaboratories in China, Ireland, Taiwan, Switzerland, India, and Saudi Arabia.

The reason for the collaboratory strategy is to share R&D costs—IBM's partners must share 50 percent of the funding costs, which means that together the partners can participate in a large-scale effort that they'd be hard pressed to fund on their own. An example is IBM's research partnership with the state-funded Swiss university ETH Zurich. The two are building a \$70 million semiconductor lab for nanotech research with the goal of identifying a replacement for the current semiconductor-switch technology. [22] Such a breakthrough could harken the creation of a whole new industry.

Did You Know?

Of all the countries in the world, the United States remains the largest investor in R&D. One-third of all spending on R&D comes from the United States. Just one government agency—the Department of Defense—provides more funding than all the nations of the world except China and Japan. Nonetheless, other countries are increasing the amounts of money they spend on R&D. Their governments are funding R&D at higher levels and are giving more attractive tax incentives to firms that spend on R&D.

Governments can also play a big role in the protection of intellectual property rights, as you'll see in Section 13.2 "Intellectual Property Rights around the Globe".

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- R&D refers to two intertwined processes of research (to identify new facts and ideas) and development (turning the ideas into tangible products and services.) Companies undertake R&D to get a pipeline of new products. Breakthrough innovations can create whole new industries, which can provide thousands of jobs.
- Invention is the creation of a new idea embodied in a product or process, while innovation takes that new idea and commercializes it in a way that enables a company to generate revenue from it.
- Government support of R&D plays a significant role in innovation. It has been generally accepted that it's desirable to encourage R&D for reasons of economic growth as well as national security. This has resulted in massive support from public funds for many sorts of laboratories. Governments influence R&D not only by providing direct funding but also by providing tax incentives to companies that invest in R&D.

 Governments also stimulate innovation through supporting institutions such as education and providing reliable infrastructure.

EXERCISES

(AACSB: Reflective Thinking, Analytical Skills)

- 1. What benefits does a company get by investing in R&D?
- 2. Why do organizations make a distinction between basic research and applied research?
- 3. Describe three ways in which government can influence R&D.
- [1] Andrea Meyer, "High-Value Innovation: Innovating the Management of Innovation," Working Knowledge (blog), August 20, 2009, accessed February 22, 2011, http://workingknowledge.com/blog/?p=594.
- [2] Gary Hamel, "The Why, What and How of Management Innovation," *Harvard Business Review*, February 2006, accessed February 24, 2011, http://hbr.org/2006/02/the-why-what-and-how-of-management-innovation/ar/1.
- [3] A. G. Lafley and Ram Charan, The Game-Changer (New York: Crown Publishing Group, 2008), 21.
- [4] A. G. Lafley and Ram Charan, The Game-Changer (New York: Crown Publishing Group, 2008), 25.
- [5] National Science Foundation, "Definitions of Research and Development," Office of Management and Budget Circular A-11, accessed March 5, 2011, http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/randdef/fedgov.cfm.
- [6] Adrian Slywotzky, "How Science Can Create Millions of New Jobs," *BusinessWeek*, September 7, 2009, accessed May 11, 2011, http://www.businessweek.com/magazine/content/09 36/b4145036678131.htm.

- [7] Adrian Slywotzky, "How Science Can Create Millions of New Jobs," *BusinessWeek*, September 7, 2009, accessed May 11, 2011, http://www.businessweek.com/magazine/content/09 36/b4145036678131.htm.
- [8] "2011 Global R&D Funding Forecast," *R&D Magazine*, December 2010, accessed February 27, 2011, http://www.battelle.org/aboutus/rd/2011.pdf.
- [9] Lance Bettencourt, Service Innovation (New York: McGraw-Hill, 2010), 99.
- [10] Lance Bettencourt, Service Innovation (New York: McGraw-Hill, 2010), 110.
- [11] Jessie Scanlon, "How Whirlpool Puts New Ideas through the Wringer," *BusinessWeek*, August 3, 2009, accessed January 17, 2011, http://www.businessweek.com/innovate/content/aug2009/id2009083_452757.htm.
- [12] "2011 Global R&D Funding Forecast," *R&D Magazine*, December 2010, accessed February 27, 2011, http://www.battelle.org/aboutus/rd/2011.pdf.
- [13] Committee on Prospering in the Global Economy of the 21st Century (U.S.), Committee on Science, Engineering, and Public Policy (U.S.), *Rising Above the Gathering Storm*(Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2007), 195.
- [14] "2011 Global R&D Funding Forecast," *R&D Magazine*, December 2010, accessed February 27, 2011, http://www.battelle.org/aboutus/rd/2011.pdf.
- [15] Brian Dumaine, "China Charges into Electric Cars," Fortune, November 1, 2010, 140.
- [16] Bill Russo, Tao Ke, Edward Tse, and Bill Peng, *China's Next Revolution: Transforming The Global Auto Industry*, Booz & Company report, 2010, accessed February 27,
- 2011, http://www.booz.com/media/file/China's Next Revolution en.pdf.
- [17] Brian Dumaine, "China Charges into Electric Cars," Fortune, November 1, 2010, 140.
- [18] Gordon Orr, "Unleashing Innovation in China," McKinsey Quarterly, January 2011, accessed January 2,
- 2011, https://www.mckinseyquarterly.com/Strategy/Innovation/Unleashing innovation in China 2725.
- [19] Adrian Slywotzky, "How Science Can Create Millions of New Jobs," *BusinessWeek*, September 7, 2009, accessed May 11, 2011, http://www.businessweek.com/magazine/content/09_36/b4145036678131.htm.
- [20] Martin Grueber and Tim Studt, "A Battelle Perspective on Investing in International R&D," *R&D Magazine*, December 22, 2009, http://www.rdmag.com/Featured-Articles/2009/12/Global-Funding-Forecast-A-Battelle-Perspective-International-R-D.



[21] Steve Hamm, "How Big Blue Is Forging Cutting-edge Partnerships around the World," *BusinessWeek*, August 27, 2009, accessed January 2,

2010, http://www.businessweek.com/print/magazine/content/09 36/b4145040683083.htm.

[22] Steve Hamm, "How Big Blue Is Forging Cutting-Edge Partnerships around the World," *BusinessWeek*, August 27, 2009, accessed January 2, 2010,

http://www.businessweek.com/print/magazine/content/09 36/b4145040683083.htm.

13.2 Intellectual Property Rights around the Globe

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- 1. Understand what intellectual property (IP) is and why it's important for companies to protect their IP.
- 2. Be able to describe different types of intellectual property.
- 3. Know that intellectual property protection varies by country.

Intellectual Property Rights

For companies to gain financial benefits from investing in research and coming up with new inventions, there must be legal protection for those inventions. The system of law related to R&D and innovation is referred to as intellectual property rights. Different countries vary in the extent to which they protect intellectual property and enforce intellectual property regulations. The presence of strong, enforceable, consistent property rights serves to make the world flatter. However, as long as significant differences in property rights exist around the globe, the world will be far from flat with respect to innovation.

Intellectual property (IP) refers to creations of the mind—inventions, literary and artistic works, and symbols, names, and images used in commerce. ^[1] The term *property* connotes ownership that's exclusive, but the owners have the right to license or sell their IP. Under intellectual property law, owners are granted certain exclusive rights—intellectual property rights (IPR)—to the discoveries, inventions, words, phrases, symbols, and designs they create.

Let's look at the ways companies protect their IP and profit from it. The simplest way for a company to protect its intellectual property is to never reveal it—to create what is called a trade secret. This is how Coca-Cola protects the formula for its hugely popular soda. If the secret were discovered or revealed through nefarious intent, then trade secret law would allow punishment of the perpetrator, including criminal prosecution. But if a company somehow developed the same formula on its own, Coca-Cola could do nothing to stop them. Therefore, companies opt for other IP protection—namely, patents and copyrights.

The most common way to protect an industrial discovery or invention is to patent it. A patent is an inventor's exclusive right granted by the government for an invention, whether a product or a process, that is industrially applicable (i.e., useful) or new (i.e., novel) or exhibits a sufficient "inventive step" (i.e.,

be nonobvious) To get a patent, the company must reveal the details of the invention. The rationale for revealing the invention details is so that others can build on the invention and thus promote further innovation. By revealing the invention, companies obtain legal protection and the right to exclusive sales of the invention (or the right to license or sell its use to others). The patent gives the patent owner a monopoly on the invention for a specific number of years.

Patents can be granted within a single country or internationally. Christian Hahner, head of Intellectual Property & Technology Management at Daimler, said, "Attaining international patent protection is an expensive undertaking. If we believe it's important for our business to actively defend our patent in court in order to prevent unauthorized copies or imitations, then we have to nationalize the patent, which makes it valid in other countries." ^[2] A patent prohibits other people from selling the identical product built in the same way as the accepted patent. Patents give the owner the right to defend the invention in court, but they don't automatically mean that the owner will win the court case.

"When I make an innovation public in Germany by initially registering a patent, I'm actually defining the state of the art. It then becomes impossible for anyone else in the world to patent that innovation," Hahner said. "The publication of the patent also creates conditions that enable the worldwide utilization of innovations with great value to society—like those related to vehicle safety, for example." ^[3] That is, by disclosing the invention publicly, the inventor gets legal protection from outright copying of the invention, but society also benefits because others learn about the invention and can try to devise a different, original way to achieve the same outcome. Because of this fear of copying, some companies, such as Microsoft, choose not to patent some of their products. For example, Microsoft does not have a patent on its Windows software because doing so would force it to reveal its source code, which Microsoft does not want to do.

Did You Know?

A car might have one hundred patents associated with it in various parts and components. In contrast, in the pharmaceutical industry, one patent may be all that's needed to cover one product: a patented drug is the product in itself. What's more, much of the innovation in new cars today resides in software. For example, the Chevrolet Volt has more software than a state-of-the-art fighter aircraft. Almost 40 percent of the car's value comes from software, computer controls, and sensors. [4]

A trademark is a distinctive sign that identifies certain goods or services as those produced or provided by a specific person or enterprise. A trademark uniquely identifies the source of the product. Companies trademark brand names and then advertise to build familiarity with that name. ^[5] Consumers come to trust the name and look for other products by that maker.

For a brief review of the main types of intellectual property rights, see Table 13.1 "Intellectual Property Types", which is reprinted with permission from *Exchanging Value—Negotiating Technology Licensing Agreements—A Training Manual*, which was published jointly by the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) and the International Trade Centre (ITC)

(http://www.wipo.int/sme/en/documents/pdf/technology_licensing.pdf).

Licensing IP Rights

The word *license*, according to the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), means permission granted by the owner of the intellectual property to another to use it according to agreed terms and conditions, for a defined purpose, in a defined territory, and for an agreed period of time. ^[6] Inlicensing IP rights, the IP owner gives permission to use the IP but retains ownership of the IP.

Some companies obtain patents mainly to license or sell them to others, thus making money from their inventions without having to manufacture or service anything themselves. In turn, other companies actively seek patents that they can purchase because they want to speed up their own R&D efforts. For example, even Daimler, which registered 2,000 patents in 2009, pays 2,600 outside inventors to use their innovations in Daimler products. ^[7] Filing patents is relatively inexpensive; even entrepreneurs can afford the filing fee. But defending a patent can be expensive. Given how overworked the patent examiners are, they often err on the side of granting a patent, which means that there are often overlapping patents. "We wind up in these fights over patents where we can't tell what they mean, and the courts can't tell what they mean, and even the patentees can't tell you what they mean," said David Kappos, a lawyer who managed IBM's patent portfolio. ^[8]

Spotlight on International Strategy and Entrepreneurship

CH2M Hill is a \$6 billion environmental services company that partnered with ADA Technologies, to develop patents for an inexpensive and effective way to control mercury emissions from coal-fired power plants. Neither company, however, makes products, so they contributed their IP to a new product-based start-up funded by outside investors. CH2M Hill and the start-up will then jointly market the new mercury-control technology. [9]

IP Protection Varies by Country

The US government's Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR) monitors intellectual property rights around the world and fights IP theft because IP theft impacts the 18 million Americans whose livelihood depends on IP protection. ^[10] The USTR evaluates countries and rates them according to how those countries enforce IP rights. The Special 301 Report is an annual review of the global state of IPR protection and enforcement issued by the USTR. The worst offenders are put on a "Priority Watch List." The countries on the 2010 Priority Watch list are China, Russia, Algeria, Argentina, Canada, Chile, India, Indonesia, Pakistan, Thailand, and Venezuela. China, which has been on the Watch List before, continues to be on the list not only because of IP theft and counterfeiting but also because of government practices that severely restrict the market for foreign goods while giving favored treatment to "indigenous innovation." ^[11] Countries can get off the Watch List by taking measures to reduce IP theft. The Czech Republic, Hungary, and Poland were all removed from the Watch List because they took significant steps to clamp down on piracy and counterfeiting.

WIPO

The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is a specialized agency of the United Nations that works to harmonize the intellectual property laws of countries around the world. Although the roots of the WIPO go back to 1883, WIPO became an agency of the United Nations in 1974, with a mandate to administer intellectual property matters recognized by the member states of the UN. In 1996, WIPO expanded its role and further demonstrated the importance of intellectual property rights in the management of globalized trade by entering into a cooperation agreement with the World Trade Organization (WTO). Today, WIPO seeks to

- harmonize national intellectual property legislation and procedures,
- provide services for international applications for industrial property rights,
- exchange intellectual property information,
- provide legal and technical assistance to developing and other countries,
- facilitate the resolution of private intellectual property disputes, and
- marshal information technology as a tool for storing, accessing, and using valuable intellectual property information. [12]

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- Intellectual property (IP) refers to creations of the mind, such as inventions, literary and artistic works,
 and symbols, names, and images used in commerce.
- Under IP law, owners are granted certain exclusive rights (intellectual property rights) to a variety of intangible assets.
- Through IP protection, owners are given the opportunity to license or sell their innovations to others,
 which can be an important way of creating value with these assets.
- The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the global nongovernmental organization tasked with coordinating and marshaling efforts to harmonize intellectual property rights among countries and regions.

EXERCISES

(AACSB: Reflective Thinking, Analytical Skills)

- 1. What are IPRs, and why are they important?
- 2. What are the four main types of IP?
- 3. How does licensing relate to IPR?
- 4. Would you do business in a country with poor IP protection? Why or why not?
- 5. What advantages does a company gain by filing a patent? Why might a company decide not to file a patent on an intellectual asset?
- [1] "What Is Intellectual Property?," World Intellectual Property Organization, 2003, accessed March 4, 2011, http://www.wipo.int/freepublications/en/intproperty/450/wipo_pub_450.pdf.

- [2] Peter Thomas, "Patents Are the Future of Innovation Management," *Technicity*, 2010, accessed February 10, 2011, http://www.daimler-technicity.de/en/christianhahner.
- [3] Peter Thomas, "Patents Are the Future of Innovation Management." *Technicity*, 2010, accessed February 10, 2011, http://www.daimler-technicity.de/en/christianhahner.
- [4] Jason Paur, "Chevy Volt: King of (Software) Cars," *Wired*, November 5, 2010, accessed February 27, 2011, http://www.wired.com/autopia/2010/11/chevy-volt-king-of-software-cars.
- [5] Steve Steinhilber, Strategic Alliances (Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business School Press, 2008), 98.
- [6] Geoffrey Loades, "Exchanging Value: Negotiating Technology Licensing Agreements," World Intellectual Property Organization, 2005, 14.
- [7] Peter Thomas, "Patents Are the Future of Innovation Management," *Technicity*, 2010, accessed February 10, 2011, http://www.daimler-technicity.de/en/christianhahner.
- [8] Jeff Howe, Crowdsourcing (New York: Three Rivers Press, 2008), 65.
- [9] Henry W. Chesbrough and Andrew R. Garman, "Use Open Innovation to Cope in a Downturn," *Harvard Business Review*, 2009, http://hbr.harvardbusiness.org/2009/06/web-exclusive-use-open-innovation-to-cope-in-a-downturn/ar/pr.
- [10] United States Trade Representative, "USTR Releases 2010 Special 301 Report on Intellectual Property Rights," press release, April 2010, accessed February 27, 2011, http://www.ustr.gov/about-us/press-office/press-releases/2010/april/ustr-releases-2010-special-301-report-intellectual-p.
- [11] United States Trade Representative, "USTR Releases 2010 Special 301 Report on Intellectual Property Rights," press release, April 2010, accessed February 27, 2011, http://www.ustr.gov/about-us/press-office/press-releases/2010/april/ustr-releases-2010-special-301-report-intellectual-p.
- [12] "WIPO Treaties—General Information," World Intellectual Property Organization, accessed November 22, 2010, http://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/general.



13.3 How to Organize and Where to Locate Research and Development Activities

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- Understand two main ways in which to organize research and development (R&D) activities in a global organization.
- 2. Be able to describe two factors to consider when deciding where in the world to locate R&D activities.
- 3. Know what an innovation hub is and how governments can influence innovation.

How to Organize R&D Activities

The flattening world is putting more pressure on corporate research and development (R&D) to come up with new products and services in less time and at lower cost. As a result, new models for how a company should organize its R&D activities have emerged. The traditional model of having one central R&D center located in the United States is being replaced by having a network of smaller R&D centers located in various parts of the world. The reasons for this dispersion of R&D activities are to tap talent around the world, to lower costs, and to be better able to develop new products and services tailored to new country markets.

When designing the R&D network, companies need to make sure that all centers use the same communication and information systems platform so that team members can communicate regardless of where they are. Some companies also offer financial and promotion incentives to encourage employees to work in different locations. [1]

The director of HP Labs, Prith Banerjee, explains the benefit and rationale for locating R&D activities in new-market countries in order to innovate more effectively for those markets. Today, HP Labs is located in seven different regions around the world, including India, China, Russia, and Israel. One reason for being in India, besides lower labor costs, is to tap the talent in India and their knowledge of local needs.

The mission of HP Labs India, Banerjee says, is innovation for the next billion customers: "I strongly believe that it is not very easy for researchers sitting in Palo Alto to imagine the problems for the billion people in India, the vegetable vendors in India. What kind of cell phones, what kind of PDA devices would

they need to solve their day-to-day problems? Sitting here in Palo Alto, you imagine that the whole world is developed, and it's not. So the researchers in India are actually working on precisely those problems." [2]

One of the challenges of a distributed global network of R&D centers is managing employees located in different countries. Booz & Company surveyed R&D leaders in 186 companies in nineteen nations to ask them their most pressing R&D challenges. The three top challenges executives listed were (1) how to assess the value of a new idea, (2) how to encourage collaboration across geographical locations and functions, and (3) managing the complexity of global R&D projects. [3] One way that large multinationals manage the challenge of globally distributed innovation activities is through specially trained innovation teams. For example, Whirlpool has devised a way to help encourage and share innovation across a globally distributed enterprise. Specifically, Whirlpool designates "i-mentors" and trains them in innovation and deploys them throughout the organization to identify promising new product ideas. [4] Similarly, General Mills has two "innovation squads" to harvest ideas from outside and inside the company, respectively. The cross-functional squads consist of six to eight company veterans with between fifteen and twenty-five years of experience. These people hunt for good business ideas and present them to division heads. The squads also give their top-ten ideas to the company chairman once a quarter. For example, one squad found a patent that had been donated to a university. The patent pertained to a new method for encapsulating calcium. The squad converted it into a very successful new product line of orange juice with added calcium that doesn't taste chalky. [5]

Did You Know?

Between 1975 and 2005, the percentage of R&D sites located outside the markets of their corporate headquarters has risen from 45 percent to 66 percent. ^[6]

Deciding Where to Locate R&D Activities

As we saw in the above example, HP is locating R&D labs in the countries for which it wants to develop new products. Another strategy is to locate the R&D center in a location known to be an innovation hub.

The concept of an innovation hub is based on Harvard Business School Professor Michael Porter's concept of clusters, which he defined in his book *The Competitive Advantage of Nations*. A cluster is defined as "a

geographic concentration of business initiatives, suppliers and associated institutions in a particular field." ^[7] This particular model of location advantage is summarized in Figure 13.1 "Determinants of Location Advantages". For example, Silicon Valley in California is a cluster for technology companies that have located (or were founded) there. The partnerships and cross-pollination of ideas among the companies created new high-tech businesses whose success in turn brought venture capitalists (VCs) there. VCs looked for new ideas to fund, which led to more high-tech start-ups, thus stimulating even further innovation and new business creation.

Strategy consulting firm McKinsey & Company partnered with the World Economic Forum to evaluate what makes a given region an innovation hub. McKinsey analyzed 700 variables, including business environment, government and regulation, human capital, infrastructure, and local demand. ^[8] An innovation hub includes universities, government research institutes or labs, and corporate R&D centers. The purpose of the collocation is to create a dense social network. Geographic proximity promotes repeated interactions among people and thus builds trust among the people; companies compete intensely with each other but at the same time they learn from each other about changing markets and technologies. ^[9]

Innovation hubs usually have a specific industry focus, which can be anything from footwear to technology to life sciences. Let's take a look at examples that make this concept clearer. Zhongguancun is a technology district in northwestern Beijing known as "the Silicon Valley of China." [10] Within a one-mile area are China's top two universities, Tsinghua University and Peking University. Also in the area is Tsinghua Science Park and Shanghai Science and Technology Center. American high-tech company EMC located an R&D facility in this area to be in close proximity to the university talent and encourage some of them to work for EMC. EMC located another R&D center in Bangalore, India, in the Marathahalli-area innovation hub that's home to the R&D centers of IBM, Oracle, HP, Cisco, and Google; it is also home to the India Institute of Management, a university ranked among the top business schools in the world. Cisco's R&D center in Bangalore has 1,400 employees, and Cisco invested \$750 million in R&D in India. [11] Illustrating Banerjee's point, however, is that despite all the high-tech companies in the Bangalore area, employees still have to stop their cars for cattle crossing the highway. [12]

The single common factor that drives innovation across all sectors is the availability of a well-qualified talent pool. Talent attracts talent, creating a reinforcing success cycle. People go to work where the work is exciting. If one location has a concentration of R&D labs, universities, and government research facilities, high-caliber people will be attracted to that location.

Hubs are known for the cross-pollination of ideas that takes place when employees of one firm talk with employees of another simply because of their proximity and frequenting the same local restaurants, events, or transportation stops. A specific phenomenon that occurs with this proximity is knowledge spillover. That is, knowledge can "spill over" as locals talk with one another; through those conversations, employees are more likely to understand each other's innovations and build on them. For example, Adam Jaffe and his colleagues analyzed the prior patents that a firm cited when applying for a new patent. [13] Jaffe and his colleagues found that, after controlling for other factors, the cited patents were five to ten times more likely to come from other firms in the same metropolitan area. People were casually sharing and building on each other's ideas. Jaffe's finding also explains why it's harder to take advantage of foreign countries' knowledge if one is not located there: "culture geography and secrecy make knowledge harder to diffuse across international borders." [14]

Below is a graphic from the Global Innovation Index. The graphic shows the main enablers that encourage innovation to take place in a given country. These enablers are Human Capacity, General and ICT (information and communication technology) Infrastructure, Market Sophistication, and Business Sophistication. On the right-hand side are the outputs of innovation—namely, scientific papers, patents, and new products and creative works. Companies evaluate the following things when choosing among countries in which to locate R&D facilities:

- A nation's institutions (the political and regulatory environment)
- A nation's investment in education
- A nation's ICT infrastructure
- The sophistication of the market (access to investors and credit)
- Business sophistication (the innovation ecosystem and openness to competition)

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- In the past, companies centralized R&D activities into one R&D center located in the same country as the
 company's headquarters. Now, that model is being replaced by a network of R&D clusters located in
 innovation hubs in the countries where a company hopes to grow its business.
- Innovation hubs are geographic locations that have universities, research labs, and corporate R&D centers clustered together. Proximity attracts the best workers and stimulates innovation through the cross-pollination of ideas. Employees are exposed to new ideas, and knowledge "spills over" during the everyday interactions of employees, university professors, and students.
- Countries and regions vary systematically in their levels of innovation, but governments can encourage innovation by supporting the pillars that enable innovation—namely, Institutions, Human Capacity,
 General and ICT (information and communication technology) Infrastructure, Market Sophistication, and
 Business Sophistication. The Global Innovation Index shows the level of innovation of any country.

EXERCISES

(AACSB: Reflective Thinking, Analytical Skills)

- 1. Why might the level of innovation vary across countries and regions?
- 2. What factors would you examine when deciding where to locate an R&D center?
- 3. Discuss the advantages and disadvantages of organizing corporate R&D into a network of globally distributed R&D centers.
- 4. How might governments or companies go about encouraging the creation of innovation hubs in a specific region?
- 5. Do you think that communication technologies enabled by the Internet will influence knowledge spillover? Why or why not?
- [1] Thomas Goldbrunner, Yves Doz, and Keeley Wilson, "The Well-Designed Global R&D Network," *Strategy and Business*, May 30, 2006, accessed January 2, 2011, http://www.strategy-business.com/article/06217?gko=0a6cc.
- [2] "Wedding Innovation with Business Value: An Interview with the Director of HP Labs Prith Banerjee," McKinsey Quarterly, February 1, 2010, accessed January 2,
- 2011, https://www.mckinseyquarterly.com/Strategy/Innovation/Wedding innovation with business value An in terview with the director of HP Labs 2522.

- [3] Thomas Goldbrunner, Yves Doz, and Keeley Wilson, "The Well-Designed Global R&D Network," *Strategy and Business*, May 30, 2006, accessed January 10, 2011, http://www.strategy-business.com/article/06217?gko=0a6cc.
- [4] Jessie Scanlon, "How Whirlpool Puts New Ideas through the Wringer," *BusinessWeek*, August 3, 2009, accessed January 17, 2011, http://www.businessweek.com/innovate/content/aug2009/id2009083 452757.htm.
- [5] Peter Erickson, "Innovating on Innovation" (keynote presentation at the Front End of Innovation Conference, Boston, MA, May 2009); MIT Center for Transportation and Logistics, "Future Capabilities in the Supply Chain" (presentation in Cambridge, MA, May 8, 2007).
- [6] Thomas Goldbrunner, Yves Doz, and Keeley Wilson, "The Well-Designed Global R&D Network," *Strategy and Business*, May 30, 2006, accessed January 2, 2011, http://www.strategy-business.com/article/06217?gko=0a6cc.
- [7] Juan A. Bertolin, "Convoy Model: The Dynamic Perspective of Porter's Cluster Model," *Innovation Management*, December 8, 2010, accessed March 10, 2011, http://www.innovationmanagement.se/2010/12/08/convoy-model-the-dynamic-perspective-of-porters-cluster-model.
- [8] André Andonian, Christoph Loos, and Luiz Pires, "Building an Innovation Nation," *McKinsey & Company: What Matters*, March 4, 2009, accessed February 24, 2011, http://whatmatters.mckinseydigital.com/innovation/building-an-innovation-nation.
- [9] AnnaLee Saxenian, Regional Advantage (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1994), 2-3, 161.
- [10] Steve Todd, Innovate with Global Influence (Bangor, ME: Booklocker.com, 2010), 9.
- [11] "The New Geography of Global Innovation," *Innovation Management*, September 20, 2010, accessed February 26, 2011, http://www.innovationmanagement.se/wp-content/uploads/2010/10/The-new-geography-of-global-innovation.pdf.
- [12] Steve Todd, Innovate with Global Influence (Bangor, ME: Booklocker.com, 2010), 13.
- [13] Adam Jaffe, Manuel Trajtenberg, and Rebecca Henderson, "Geographic Localization of Knowledge Spillovers as Evidenced by Patent Citations," *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 108, no. 3: 577–98.
- [14] Erik Brynjolfsson and Adam Saunders, Wired for Innovation (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 2010), 99.

13.4 Increasing Speed and Effectiveness of International Innovation

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- 1. Know the difference between product innovation and process innovation.
- 2. Describe the ways in which open innovation and innovation contests improve innovation outcomes.
- 3. Understand how businesses encourage intrapreneurs to contribute to the company's innovation efforts.

Process Innovations

We typically think of new products and services as the innovation output of investments in research and development (R&D). However, a significant amount of innovation yields no new products or services, not because of R&D failure, but because the innovations are not related to a company's core processes. Approcess innovation is an innovation in the way a company does any process, such as taking a customer order. A process is defined as "a specific ordering of work activities across time and place, with a beginning and end, and clearly identified inputs and outputs." ^[1] Processes can be simple activities (e.g., filling out a travel expense report), longer processes (e.g., issuing an insurance policy), or a broad set of activities (e.g., inventory management and distributions). The broader the process, the more impact innovating that process will have. For example, UPS made a process change when designing the routes its drivers follow when making deliveries. The company's routes give preference to making right turns rather than left turns whenever possible. The reason is that right turns are easier, faster, and safer—and they save fuel compared to left turns. This "right turn" process innovation doesn't involve the customer, but it helps UPS operate more efficiently.

Open Innovation

Among the latest developments in corporate innovation is the concept of open innovation. Open innovation is the intentional leveraging of the research, ideas, or technologies of outsiders—that is, people or companies that are not part of the corporate entity—rather than relying solely on innovations that are generated from inside the company. Open innovation takes innovation beyond a company's R&D lab and lets customers and partners participate in the creation of new product and services.

Procter & Gamble (P&G) embarked on open innovation in 2001 with its Connect + Develop program. For example, the innovation of printing text or images on Pringles chips came about through P&G partnering with a professor in Italy who ran a small bakery and had invented a technology that used ink-jet techniques to print pictures on pastries. ^[2] P&G adopted the technology to work with potato chips and launched Pringles Prints (chips with images and text printed on them) at a fraction of the cost and time it would have taken to research and develop the technology internally. Since adopting open innovation 2001, P&G's innovation success rate has doubled, while its costs have decreased. ^[3]

Another example of open innovation is from Kraft Foods. When Irene Rosenfeld took over as CEO of Kraft Foods, she saw an anemic innovation pipeline. The company had 2,000 corporate R&D staff—scientists, engineers and chemists—but new products weren't flowing rapidly enough. ^[4] To solve the problem, she encouraged Kraft managers to reach out beyond corporate R&D and enlist the help of employees across the whole company, as well as suppliers and partners, to spur innovation. For example, Kraft runs an online "Innovate with Kraft" program whereby anyone can submit product ideas. The idea for Kraft's Bagel-fuls (frozen bagels prefilled with Philadelphia Cream Cheese), for instance, came unsolicited from a bagel supplier. The idea was a win-win for both companies: it solved some technical challenges that Kraft had faced in delivering a bagel-and-cheese combo, and it boosted the revenue of the bagel supplier.

Innovation Contests

One method by which a company can manage and run open innovation is to use a contest or "challenge" method. In the contest method, the company poses a challenge, such as a way "to drop large amounts Humanitarian food and water packages from an aircraft into populated areas such that there is no danger of falling objects (i.e., nonfood items) causing harm to those on the ground" and offers a financial reward to the person, company, or team that solves the problem first. ^[5]

Companies can run their own open innovation contests, or they can use a third-party provider like InnoCentive (which originated inside Eli Lilly and Company and was spun off as a separate company in 2005). InnoCentive runs contests via the web and has a community of more than 180,000 engineers, scientists, inventors, business professionals, and research organizations in 175 countries who regularly participate in its contests. Financial prizes as high as \$1 million are awarded for the best solutions.

The advantages of the open innovation contest approach include the following:

- Reduced cost of R&D because, rather than funding R&D projects that may fail, the contest
 approach pays the financial reward only after the solution is found. If no viable solution is found,
 the company does not pay the financial reward.
- 2. Faster product development time because multiple teams work on the project at the same time, with an incentive to be the first to develop a viable solution and thus win the prize.
- Access to experts from around the world. The experts do not have to be employed by the firm but
 can still contribute an idea or solution. This gives a firm access to experts and talent which it
 couldn't afford to employ full time.
- 4. Bigger breakthroughs because experts in a different field can make an unusual connection. For example, InnoCentive's challenge to find a biomarker for ALS disease received a solution proposed by a dermatologist—someone completely outside the ALS research field but who had an idea for a low-cost testing method.

The contest method has had such success that now the US government also uses this approach to reward innovative solutions. See http://challenge.gov for the list of challenges that the government is running.

Role of Intrapreneurship in Corporate Innovation

Large multinationals that have R&D centers also look for other ways to encourage innovation and new ideas. One effective way to stimulate innovation is to devise ways for intrapreneurs to contribute their ideas. Let's look at how one multinational, Shell, does this. Despite spending over \$1 billion annually on R&D, Shell also runs a small program called GameChanger to foster radically innovative ideas. Started in 2006, GameChanger is a program to which any Shell employee anywhere in the world can contribute an idea for a product, project, or service. The program is open to nonemployees as well, making it an example of open innovation. Interestingly, about 70 percent of the proposed projects include at least one person who is not a Shell employee. (The nonemployee is typically someone associated with a university.) ^[6] Under the GameChanger program, a team of experts evaluates all the submitted ideas. Ideas that look promising are awarded funding of \$15,000 to \$25,000 so that the person who submitted the idea can expand on the proposal, possibly developing a prototype and attracting collaborators. ^[7] Ideas that pass the next screening by a broader group of experts get funded to the tune of \$500,000 to \$1

million for a year. After that, the idea may be further developed by a Shell business, spun off as a separate company, or sold to another company. [8]

Did You Know?

Did you know that the best people to run a new idea may be those who have the most passion for it? That's what retailer Best Buy's CMO believes. He encourages the intrapreneurial spirit by letting employees self-select the projects they want to work on and letting those with the most passion for the idea run it: "The Loop [a market prediction tool developed internally at Best Buy] is run by retail operations. They have the passion for it so they run it. Typically at Best Buy one of the ideas is if you've got passion then you may be best suited to take it on regardless of what organization you're in because you have point of view." [9]

User-Led Innovation

The precursor to open innovation was the concept of user-led innovation, first identified by MIT professor Eric von Hippel. Von Hippel noticed that many breakthrough innovations don't come out of a company's lab but rather from the lead users of the company's products. In some cases, the lead users even precede a company. For example, von Hippel says that skateboards were the invention of people who took their roller skates and hammered a piece of board between them. ^[10] Companies later saw the popularity of the invention and took over the refinement and manufacture of skateboards. Similarly, von Hippel says, M-Pesa did not invent mobile banking. Rather, it saw people in Africa buying minutes for their cell phones and then transferring those minutes to relatives in lieu of money. M-Pesa made the process systematic so that money could be transferred between people who didn't already have a relationship with each other—namely, for business. ^[11]

Social Networks

One of the features common to both open innovation and user-led innovation is the important and integral role played by social networks. A social network is a social structure made of nodes (which are generally individuals or organizations) that are connected by ties. In other words, it's a set of relationships among people. Your social network is the structure of personal and professional relationships you have

with others. Social capital, in turn, is the resources—such as ideas, information, money, and trust—that you're able to access and influence through your social network. While social networks and social capital can be associated with many things, they're particularly important sources of innovation.

In a typical company, innovation relies on a handpicked team leading an innovation project. The trouble is that these teams often have no good way of tapping the expertise of the whole company. They tend to call on the small circle of colleagues they know or on the acknowledged experts in an established field. But such teams often have a hard time identifying people they don't already know but who might have knowledge relevant to the problem at hand. As a result, potential good ideas are lost or hidden—they remain inside the heads of unknown employees. That's where social software tools come in handy. With social software tools, a company can start a discussion on a topic and employees who know about the topic self-identify by posting ideas, refining the ideas of others, and voting on ideas. That is, employees don't need to be "found" by the innovation team. They can post ideas and thus self-identify and demonstrate their knowledge. For example, a company could start a discussion like "Can we develop a new water-filtration product?" People from market research might identify the top-selling filtration products. Someone from human resources who recently bought a water-filtration system for her family might contribute her own insights gathered from what blogs and outside websites were saying about all the competing products (e.g., "Brand B is bad because it's difficult to install"). Other employees might point out the engineering deficits of a proposed technology, such as that a potential filter material is too expensive for consumer water filters. Another person might have good suggestions for how to solve the cost problem (e.g., to coat the expensive filter ingredient on a cheaper material). The point is that with the help of social networking tools, these contributions can come from any employee, not just the handpicked team members and their inner circle. [12]

Software tools that promote social networking are even more important when companies expand internationally, because it will be hard for innovation teams to personally know all the employees who could contribute innovative ideas. With enterprise-wide social networking tools, these employees can self-identify.

KEY TAKEAWAYS



- A process innovation is a new way of doing things—the implementation of a new or significantly improved activity or set of activities. This includes significant changes in techniques, equipment, or software.
- Open innovation allows people outside a company—including university researchers, experts, suppliers,
 and partners—to contribute ideas and solutions for new products or services. One open innovation
 method is the holding of contests to solicit solutions and pay rewards for those solutions.
- Open innovation approaches save companies money: companies pay only for results, not for attempts that fail. Open innovation also saves time because concurrent teams work on solving the problem.
- Companies encourage intrapreneurs to propose new ideas and solutions, rewarding them with seed money to develop and grow their ideas.
- User-led innovation, identified by Eric von Hippel, shows how breakthrough innovations can originate from outside the research and development (R&D) lab, coming from users who are passionate about an idea.
- Social networks and social media technology enable innovative ideas to be shared and discussed by employees at all levels.

EXERCISES

(AACSB: Reflective Thinking, Analytical Skills)

- 1. How are process innovations similar to but different from product innovations?
- 2. What are the advantages and disadvantages of open innovation?
- 3. How are open innovation and user-led innovation distinct from ways R&D and innovation were managed in the past?
- 4. How might a company encourage intrapreneurs to contribute innovative ideas?
- 5. What benefits do companies gain by using open innovation contests?
- [1] Thomas Davenport, *Process Innovation* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business School Press,1993), 5.
- [2] Stefan Lindegaard, The Open Innovation Revolution (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2010), 9.
- [3] Larry Huston and Nabil Sakkab, "Connect and Develop," *Harvard Business Review* 84, no. 3 (March 2006), accessed January 2, 2011, http://hbr.org/2006/03/connect-and-develop/ar/1.

- [4] Andrea Meyer, "Kraft: The '\$40 Billion Start-Up' Spurs Innovation," *Working Knowledge*(blog), October 7, 2009, accessed February 27, 2011, http://workingknowledge.com/blog/?p=878.
- [5] "Humanitarian Air Drop Challenge," *InnoCentive*, March 2, 2011, accessed March 4, 2011, https://gw.innocentive.com/ar/challenge/overview/9932741.
- [6] "Boston Consulting Group, Simulation Advantage," Boston Consulting Group, accessed February 26, 2011, http://www.bcg.com/documents/file57197.pdf.
- [7] Russell Conser, "Shell GameChanger: Space to Free the Mind," *Innosight* 6, no. 4 (July–August 2008), accessed January 2, 2011, http://www.innosight.com/innovation_resources/article.html?id=628.
- [8] Wendel Broere, "Sparking the Spirit of Innovation," July 13, 2007, accessed January 17, 2011, http://www.shell.com/home/content/innovation/innovative-thinking/game-changer/sparking-innovation/.
- [9] Francois Gossieaux and Ed Moran, The Hyper-Social Organization (New York: McGraw-Hill, 2010), 178.
- [10] Haydn Shaughnessy, "Eric Von Hippel on Innovation," *Innovation Management*, February 21, 2011, accessed February 25, 2011, <a href="http://www.innovationmanagement.se/2011/02/21/eric-von-hippel-on-innovation/?utm_source=Subscribers+InnovationManagement.se&utm_campaign=2780c497a9-Five+Steps+to+Profitable+Innovation&utm_medium=email.
- [11] Haydn Shaughnessy, "Eric Von Hippel on Innovation," *Innovation Management*, February 21, 2011, accessed February 25, 2011, <a href="http://www.innovationmanagement.se/2011/02/21/eric-von-hippel-on-innovation/?utm_source=Subscribers+InnovationManagement.se&utm_campaign=2780c497a9-Five+Steps+to+Profitable+Innovation&utm_medium=email.
- [12] Andrea Meyer, "Using Social Media to Improve Corporate Innovation," *Working Knowledge* (blog), accessed March 4, 2011, http://workingknowledge.com/blog/?p=578.

13.5 Innovation for the Bottom of the Pyramid

LEARNING OBJECTIVES

- 1. Understand the nature and size of BOP markets.
- 2. Know examples of firms pursuing BOP strategies.
- 3. Be conversant with the twelve principles of BOP innovation.

Contemporary View of BOP

In 1998, Professors C. K. Prahalad and Stuart L. Hart defined the bottom of the pyramid (BOP) as the billions of people living on less than \$2 per day. Both men expanded this definition of BOP in their subsequent writing (e.g., Prahalad's *The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid* in 2004 and Hart's *Capitalism at the Crossroads* in 2005). ^[1] The BOP is estimated to comprise between four billion and five billion people.

Too Good to Be True?

Professor Aneel Karnani at the University of Michigan argues that the BOP proposition is indeed too good to be true. "It is seductively appealing, but it is riddled with fallacies. There is neither glory nor fortune at the bottom of the pyramid—it is all a mirage." ^[2] He argues that the BOP proposition is logically flawed and is not supported by empirical evidence. He proposes an alternative approach for the private sector to alleviate poverty by viewing the poor as producers, not consumers. This shift in view, Karnani argues, is the way to alleviate poverty by raising the incomes of the poor.

In Prahalad and Hart's view, companies that understand the potential for commercial consumption at the BOP can open a new, potentially lucrative market that benefits the business as well as BOP consumers. By innovating to meet the needs of BOP customers, a company treats them with dignity and respect that previously was afforded only to the wealthy, Prahalad and Hart say.

Twelve Principles of BOP Innovation

Addressing the bottom of the pyramid requires a fresh managerial mind-set, summarized below in Prahalad's "12 Principles of BOP Innovation"—which are innovations themselves. ^[3] In developed markets, Prahalad suggests that one may take the availability of electricity, telephones, credit,

refrigeration, and other such amenities for granted. At the BOP, the infrastructure is much spottier and more hostile. Consumers may have to cope with frequent electric-power blackouts and brownouts. Credit may be extremely costly. Refrigeration may be unavailable. Products marketed to the bottom of the pyramid must be able to withstand such an environment.

Below are Prahalad's "12 Principles of BOP Innovation," along with examples of each.

- 1. **Focus on value and on delivering performance for the price.** The BOP consumer isn't interested merely in cheap prices but in getting the greatest possible performance for the price paid. It's extraordinary how low a price can be and still be highly profitable, if the seller is organized to deliver value. For example, doctors at India's Aravind Eye Care System, the world's largest eye-care business, perform hundreds of thousands of cataract surgeries each year. The prices range from \$50 to \$300 per surgery, including the hospital stay. Aravind is quite profitable, although 60 percent of its patients pay nothing.
- 2. **Innovate.** Old technologies can't solve the problems of BOP consumers, and products aimed at the BOP market can't simply be watered-down versions of developed-world products. Instead, products must be rethought to bring radically lower cost while at the same time having features that meet the BOP's highest needs. For example, Hindustan Unilever Limited (HUL), a Unilever subsidiary, developed a new molecular encapsulation technology to prevent iodized salt from losing its iodine before consumption. To test the efficacy of the technology, the researchers used radioactive tracing techniques pioneered by the Indian Atomic Energy Commission.
- 3. **Make the solution scalable.** When delivering high performance at affordable prices, profits must be generated through volume sales. The product itself must be low cost, but with four billion to five billion BOP customers across the world, scaling the operation is what will make the venture sustainable. Solutions should be scalable across borders.
- 4. **Aim to conserve resources.** BOP consumers cannot afford to waste resources. Per capita water consumption in the United States is almost 2,000 cubic meters per year, compared to less than 500 in China and less than 700 in India. The developed world's high standard of living is a water- and waste-intensive lifestyle. Innovations should emphasize conserving resources, recycling materials, and eliminating waste. Creating products for five billion people means

- designing the products in ways that can be environmentally sustainable. China's focus on electric cars rather than gasoline-powered cars reflects the reality that it's unlikely China could obtain the oil it would need for that many cars and that its extremely polluted cities could handle the additional exhaust fumes.
- 5. **Identify functionality.** BOP customers likely require different functionality than high-end consumers. For example, prosthetic legs developed for India's BOP consumers needed to meet some special requirements: consumers needed to be able to squat, sit cross-legged, and walk on rough ground. Dr. Pramod Karan Sethi and Ram Chandra developed the Jaipur Foot prosthetic for this purpose. The charity Bhagwan Mahaveer Viklang Sahayata Samiti, which is based in Jaipur, India, made them available for less than \$30. ^[4]
- 6. **Think in terms of process innovations.** One way to bring costs down dramatically is to standardize processes. That's how Aravind is able to bring down the costs of cataract surgery so dramatically. Aravind made the process highly standardized and trained young village women to prepare patients and handle postoperative care. Thus doctors focus exclusively on surgery and perform only cataract surgery—nothing else. This focused process lets one doctor and two technicians perform fifty surgeries per day.
- 7. **Reduce the skills required to do the job.** Design products and services suitable to people without skills. Voxiva, a Peruvian start-up, developed a system enabling health-care workers to diagnose illnesses such as smallpox by comparing a patient's lesions to a picture of a similar lesion. With this simplified diagnostic process, health-care workers don't require great skills to know when to call a doctor.
- 8. **Educate consumers in the use of products.** This may require collaborating with nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), governments, and others. HUL launched a program in some of India's village schools to promote the washing of hands with soap as a way to prevent the childhood diarrhea that kills two million children per year. HUL educated the children, who in turn educated their parents.
- Design products and services to operate in very tough infrastructure
 environments. For example, when Indian conglomerate ITC built a network connecting Indian

villages, it had to provide personal computers that could handle wide voltage fluctuations. ITC included surge suppressors and solar panels to give the system adequate, reliable electricity.

10. **Make the interface simple and the learning curve short.** In Mexico, the chain retailer Elektra uses automated teller machines (ATMs) with a fingerprint identification system so BOP consumers don't have to remember lengthy identification codes.

11. **Innovate in distribution.** Avon has built a Brazilian direct-sales business that delivers revenues of \$1.7 billion annually.

12. **Challenge assumptions.** The Jaipur Foot and Aravind Eye Care System hospitals defy conventional wisdom about how (and at what price) it's possible to deliver health care to the poor.

Ethics in Action

NextBillion.net began as an initiative of the World Resources Institute's Markets and Enterprise Program. The name refers to the next billion people to rise from the bottom of the pyramid into the middle class and connotes the next billion in profits that companies can make serving this market. The purpose of the site is to provide a source for news, analysis, research and discussion on development through enterprise and BOP ideas. In addition, the NextBillion.net website has a career center that posts jobs (consulting projects as well as full-time jobs and academic appointments). As the site states, its mission is to "highlight the development and implementation of business strategies that open opportunities and improve the lives of the world's approximately 4 billion low-income producers and consumers." [5]

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- The BOP (or bottom-of-the-pyramid) market refers to the four billion to five billion people living on less than \$2 per day.
- When businesses get involved in BOP economies, they can stimulate the creation of new services and products. Though there is some debate as to whether the goal should be to innovate and sell to the BOP or to engage the BOP markets as the source of innovation, all parties agree that engagement with BOP economies is desired and productive.

EXERCISES

(AACSB: Reflective Thinking, Analytical Skills)



- 1. Why are businesses interested in BOP markets?
- 2. What are some examples of products developed to profitably serve BOP markets?
- 3. What are some of the challenges of serving BOP markets?
- 4. In what ways might BOP markets be a source of innovation?
- 5. What are some examples of innovations derived from the BOP?
- [1] C. K. Prahalad, *The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid* (Upper Saddle River, NJ: Wharton School Publishing, 2004); Stuart L. Hart, *Capitalism at the Crossroads* (Upper Saddle River, NJ: Wharton School Publishing, 2005).
- [2] Aneel Karnani, "Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid: A Mirage," Ross School of Business Working Paper Series, Working Paper No. 1035, July 2006, accessed February 12,
- 2011, http://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/bitstream/2027.42/41223/5/1035-Karnani OLD.pdf.
- [3] C. K. Prahalad, *The Fortune at the Bottom of the Pyramid* (Upper Saddle River, NJ: Wharton School Publishing, 2004), 25–27.
- [4] Tim McGirk, "The \$28 Foot," *Time*, accessed May 11, 2011,http://www.time.com/time/reports/heroes/foot.html.
- [5] "About NextBillion," NextBillion, accessed May 11, 2011, http://www.nextbillion.net/about.

13.6 End-of-Chapter Questions and Exercises

These exercises are designed to ensure that the knowledge you gain from this book about international business meets the learning standards set out by the international Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB International). [1] AACSB is the premier accrediting agency of collegiate business schools and accounting programs worldwide. It expects that you will gain knowledge in the areas of communication, ethical reasoning, analytical skills, use of information technology, multiculturalism and diversity, and reflective thinking.

EXPERIENTIAL EXERCISES

(AACSB: Communication, Use of Information Technology, Analytical Skills)

- 1. Visit the websites of several corporations (e.g., General Electric, Procter & Gamble, and Unilever) that you believe may be trying to innovate with R&D operations in one of the BRIC emerging markets. Do you see any common patterns in how they discuss this strategy? Do they make any statements about intellectual property rights?
- 2. Each year Cornell University's Center for Sustainable Global Enterprise sponsors a BOP-themed essay competition. (Visit the competition's website at http://www.johnson.cornell.edu/Center-for-Sustainable-Global-Enterprise.aspx.) The goal is to "highlight the challenges of implementing business in underserved markets and identify innovative business initiatives or solutions to those challenges." ^[2] The awards range from \$500 to \$4,000, and winners are recognized on NextBillion.net. Review the winning essays and, individually or with a team, identify the basis for your own essay. Draft a short summary (approximately five hundred words) of it and share it with the class.
- 3. Search YouTube for "bottom of the pyramid." You'll find several videos by C. K. Prahalad (e.g., http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=79JOHMrs8m4y and the Maastricht series starting with http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VJUjzT--HUk). Beyond these videos, what other resources did you find? Poverty is likely to exist in most communities and not just developing economies. What opportunities in the BOP market exist in your community?

Ethical Dilemmas

(AACSB: Ethical Reasoning, Multiculturalism, Reflective Thinking, Analytical Skills)

- 1. In 1998, Nike launched the World Shoe Project, which is a line of footwear targeted and designed exclusively for emerging markets in Asia, Africa, and Latin America. By January 2001, Tom Hartge and his team at Nike had sold only 404,520 pairs of World Shoes in China. One year later, even though the Nike CEO at the time, Phil Knight, remained a supporter, the World Shoe Project was "alive in spirit only." [3] Part of the explanation for this state of affairs was that Nike expected the same profit margins on products regardless of markets. Should successful companies like Nike be expected to earn lower profits in emerging markets as a way to "give back" to society?
- 2. What are some of the ethical concerns that come to mind for companies seeking to sell to the BOP? Review the Wikipedia entry on the Nestlé boycott (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nestlé_boycott) to see if you've identified and addressed all the ethical concerns raised in that case in your answer.
- [1] Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business website, accessed January 26, 2010, http://www.aacsb.edu.
- [2] "BOP Competition," Cornell University Johnson Graduate School of Management, accessed February 12, 2011, http://www.johnson.cornell.edu/Center-for-Sustainable-Global-Enterprise.aspx.
- [3] Heather McDonald and Ted London, "Expanding the Playing Field: Case B: Nike's World Shoe Project," World Resources Institute Case Study, 2002.